

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/672,938	09/28/2000	Jeffery A. Livesay	4969.02	7604	
7:	590 09/16/2003				
Brad J Hattenbach Esq DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 370 THE STREET			EXAMINER		
			GORT, ELAINE L		
SUITE 4700 DENVER, CO	80202-5647		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
22. (21, 00			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>				
. /*	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•				
) Coffice Action Commence		09/672,938	LIVESAY, JEFFERY A.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Elaine Gort	3627					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -	•				
THE - Extermination of the after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S	September 2000 .						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)□								
Disposit	ion of Claims	•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-172 is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-172 are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•					
Applicat	ion Papers	·						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)accept	pted or b)⊡ objected to by the E xa	miner.					
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			•				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , ,, ,, ,, ,,	oved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	· -						
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).				
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* *	•					
Attachmen	nt(s)	- 2						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	-·				
S Patent and 1	Trademark Office							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Olik. PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-42; 95-101; 129-138; and 146-154, drawn to a process of facilitating the matching of buyers and sellers for goods/services specified for a project defined in terms of at least one parameter, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
 - II. Claims 43-94; 102-109; 139-145; and 155-163, drawn to a system for matching buyers and sellers for goods/services specified for a project defined in terms of at least one parameter, classified in class 709, subclass 217.
 - III. Claims 110-128 and 164-172, drawn to a computer readable medium for matching buyers and sellers for goods/services specified for a project defined in terms of at least one parameter, classified in class 700, subclass 11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I. and II. are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case Invention I. can be practiced, at least in part, by another

Art Unit: 3627

materially different apparatus or by hand. For example the steps of creating and communicating a request or response could be carried out by hand.

Inventions I. and III. are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case Invention I. can be practiced, at least in part, by another materially different apparatus or by hand. For example the steps of creating and communicating a request or response could be carried out by hand.

Inventions II. and III. are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II. has separate utility such as the system could be used to carry out other applications such as market research, e-mail communications, inventory information, accounting, etc... See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because the search required for each Invention is not required for the other Inventions, and because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3627

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A. Process/system/computer readable medium for communicating a request and a response and generating a contract.
 - B. Process/system/computer readable medium for qualifying sellers.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to John Kennedy on September 5, 2003 to request

an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election

being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is 703/308-6391.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 703/305-3597. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/305-

1113.

FG

September 8, 2003

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600